

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.eapo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,780	12/28/2004	Tomoyuki Asano	262954US6PCT	7690	
OBLON, SPIN	7590 09/24/200 YAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			NILFOROUSH, MOHAMMAD A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3685		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,780	ASANO, TOMOYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mohammad A. Nilforoush	3685	

	Mohammad A. Nilforoush	3685	1				
	monanima i i i imoroadii	3003					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or manended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621	/M. A. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3685						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is of the position that recitation of a recording medium and the use of a media writing device provides a sufficient te to a particular apparatus to rethe claim statutory under 35 USC §101. However, the recitation of a recording medium, as well as the recitation of the use of a media writing device, data processing device, and an media recording device recited in the other method steps, does not necessarily require that any the method steps be performed by a particular apparatus. An example of method steps tied to a particular apparatus such the method steps are performed by the apparatus could be "...generating, by a data processing device of the media verification system, a plurality of different signature data elements...generating, by the data processing device of the media verification system, a plurality of different identification data elements...device and the processing device...recording, by the data processing device, a verification system, application data elements...device and the processing device, a verification data element, ...comparing, by the data processing device, a verification data element, ...comparing, by the data processing the remaining arrunents are directed to the amendment and thus require further search and consideration.